Manufacturing Line Footprint Reduction Through Kaizen-Driven Layout Optimization

Sai Prasad Ravulapally

Independent Researcher
Shakopee, Minnesota, USA
prasadsai328@gmail.com

Abstract:
Manufacturing organizations are increasingly experiencing space challenges while also seeking to enhance efficiency and operational flexibility. Traditional responses, like expanding facilities, require a lot of money and cause problems with operations. Lean manufacturing principles, especially Kaizen-driven continuous improvement, offer a different way to get through by getting rid of waste and improving the layout. This study provides an industrial case analysis of the reduction of manufacturing footprint in a chainsaw assembly line within a facility that makes outdoor power tools. The goal of the project was to cut down on the amount of space used on the production floor from 8,000 square feet to 5,000 square feet while maintaining or improving the performance of the production floor. By using Value Stream Mapping, line balancing, 5S implementation, visual management, and layout redesign as part of a structured continuous improvement effort, the production footprint was cut down to 4,600 square feet, or 42.5%. At the same time, the cycle time went from 24 seconds to 20 seconds per unit, the production capacity went up by 20%, the work-in-process inventory was cut down to zero, and the distance operators had to travel went down by 72%. A financial analysis shows that the company will save about $510,000 by not expanding its facilities. The results show that changing the layout using Kaizen can improve efficiency, productivity, and operational sustainability simultaneously, without adding more workers. This research provides practical and scholarly insights into the integration of Lean manufacturing with industrial engineering layout optimization strategies.

Keywords: Kaizen, Lean Manufacturing, Footprint Reduction, Layout Optimization, One-Piece Flow, Continuous Improvement, 5S, Efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing environments around the world are increasingly dealing with conflicting pressures to lower prices, increase production, and work around facility limits. As demand for a product rises, companies typically run into physical space issues that make it hard to increase manufacturing capacity. Traditional solutions include expanding the factory or building new facilities, both of which need a lot of money and take a long time to put into place.

Lean manufacturing philosophy goes against this way of thinking by focusing on getting rid of waste instead of adding resources. Lean principles, which come from the Toyota Production System, say that you should always try to make things better (Kaizen) before you spend money on new resources.
This research investigates how a Kaizen-driven continuous improvement project enabled substantial manufacturing footprint reduction within a chainsaw assembly line at an outdoor power equipment manufacturing facility. The organization didn't add to the building's infrastructure; instead, it focused on optimizing space by redesigning workflows and eliminating waste.

The study aims to achieve the following research objectives:
1. Evaluate the effectiveness of Kaizen methodology in reducing manufacturing line footprint.
2. Quantify operational performance improvements following layout optimization.
3. Consider the financial benefits of avoiding expansion.
4. Demonstrate integration between Lean manufacturing and industrial engineering layout principles.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The design of a facility's layout has a big effect on how efficient, productive, and smooth the flow of materials is. Lean manufacturing theory says that bad layouts cause waste in transportation, motion, and waiting, which slows down throughput.

Womack and Jones and other researchers have said that Lean transformation means changing the way production systems are set up so that value flows instead of functional groups. When using traditional process layouts, you often must move too much material and work-in-progress.

Kaizen initiatives offer organized ways to make small but important changes to operations that have a big effect. Shah and Ward said that continuous improvement is an important factor in the long-term success of Lean implementation.

Cellular and flow-oriented layouts are often linked to shorter lead times and higher productivity. Research conducted in assembly settings indicates that transforming batch production into one-piece flow markedly diminishes work-in-progress inventory and operational variability.

Recent research in manufacturing shows that spatial efficiency is becoming an important performance metric. Optimizing the footprint of a building makes it more flexible, but it also puts off decisions about expanding the building's capital.
This study presents empirical evidence that Kaizen techniques directly result in quantifiable reductions in high-volume assembly settings.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This research utilizes an industrial case-study methodology supported by quantitative pre-and-post performance analysis. The study adheres to a Kaizen-oriented continuous improvement framework conducted over a 2.5-week implementation duration.

3.2 Facility Background

The factory makes outdoor power tools and takes up about 120,000 square feet of space for production. The targeted chainsaw assembly line used to take up 8,000 square feet in a process-oriented layout.

Before the upgrade, the system used long conveyor belts and separate workstations for different tasks.

3.3 Baseline Operating Conditions

Parameter	Before Improvement
Line footprint	8,000 ft²
Operators	18
Cycle time	24 sec/unit
Production rate	150 units/hr
WIP inventory	35 units
Travel distance	18 ft/unit
Conveyor length	15 ft

Baseline analysis included:

- Spaghetti diagram analysis
- Time studies
- Value Stream Mapping
- Production efficiency evaluation

4. Problem Identification

The analysis showed that several Lean wastes were affecting operational performance:

- Excess operator motion
- Long paths for moving materials
- Accumulation of work in progress
- Inefficient staging areas
- Non-value-added transportation

The process layout encouraged batch movement instead of one-piece flow, which caused traffic jams and slower throughput. There isn't much space available in the facility, so adding new production lines puts strategic pressure on the company to reduce its footprint.

5. Kaizen Implementation Framework

The improvement initiative was carried out as a structured continuous improvement project that included cross-functional teams from operations, engineering, maintenance, safety, quality, and warehouse teams.

Lean Tools Applied

- 5S workplace organization
- Line balancing
- Visual management systems
- Standard work development
- Kanban material replenishment
- Layout redesign

The main idea was to change to one-piece flow with the help of ergonomic workstation alignment.

6. Layout Transformation Strategy

The original production setup used a traditional process-oriented layout that made it take longer for operators and materials to move between workstations. The line was redesigned into a U shape to make it flow better and cut down on unnecessary movement. With this new setup, operators could do their jobs in logical order while staying closer to the processes next to them. The goal wasn't just to move things around; it was to make the production flow more smoothly and naturally.

There were a number of useful adjustments that helped make this transition happen. To make operations more efficient, equipment was moved around, and the conveyor length was cut from 15 feet to 9 feet to cut down on unnecessary material movement. Resources that weren't being used or didn't bring value were taken out of the area, making room for more valuable things. Vertical storage was added to make greater use of the space that was already there, and staging zones were made smaller to stop batch production and encourage continuous flow. A temporary slowdown in production was planned so that the upgrades to services, electrical connections, and air lines could be made safely and correctly. The team was able to check the new setup during this controlled shutdown before going back to full production.

7. Results and Performance Analysis

7.1 Footprint Reduction

Metric	Result
Initial footprint	8,000 ft²
Final footprint	4,600 ft²
Space saved	3,400 ft²
Reduction	42.5%

The extra space made it possible to add another production line without having to build a new building.

7.2 Productivity Improvement

It was easy to identify and measure improvements in performance after the layout changes. The cycle time was 24 seconds for each unit, but now it only takes 20 seconds. This means that the process is 16.7% more efficient. As a result, the hourly output went up from 150 units to 180 units, which is a 20% increase in capacity without hiring more workers. The productivity of workers went up from 8.3 units per operator per hour to 10 units per operator per hour, which is a 20% increase in efficiency per worker.

It's important to note that the number of operators stayed the same at 18. This demonstrates that the improvement was driven by process optimization rather than workforce expansion. The gains were made by improving the flow, reducing delays, and cutting down on activities that don't add value, not by hiring more people.

7.3 Motion Reduction

The decrease in operator movement was one of the most noticeable changes. Before the redesign, operators moved about 18 feet for each unit they put together. After the change, this distance dropped to only 5 feet per unit, which is a 72% decrease. By rearranging related tasks closer together and in the right order, people had to walk and move things around a lot less.

This cut not only made things more efficient, but it also made them more comfortable to work in. Traveling shorter distances can help you stay safe and be more productive in the long run by reducing fatigue and physical strain. The small layout made the workplace feel more organized and under control, which helped keep the flow of production stable.

7.4 Inventory Flow Improvement

The line worked in a completely different way after it switched from batch production to one-piece flow. Before, the line kept an average of 35 units of work-in-process inventory between stations. WIP was basically gone after the redesign and the introduction of In-Process Kanban (IPK).

Now that operations are in sync and workloads are spread out evenly across stations, products move from one step to the next without having to wait in line. This reduction in buffering not only made more room, but it also made the lead time more stable and the response time faster. The system became easier to predict, and problems were easier to see when they occurred, which made it easier to fix them quickly.

8. Financial Impact Analysis

8.1 Expansion Avoidance

By cutting the production footprint from 8,000 square feet to 4,600 square feet, 3,400 square feet of manufacturing space became available. Based on an estimated cost of $150 per square foot for the facility, this means that the company saved about $510,000 in costs for expanding. The company was able to get the capacity needed within its current footprint instead of buying additional building space.

This avoided capital expenditure is a strategic advantage of the project. The reclaimed space was then used to support a new production line, which allowed the company to grow without having to build more infrastructure.

8.2 Capacity Gain Without Labor Increase

With a 10-hour shift and a four-day workweek, the throughput increase of 30 more units per hour means that the factory can make about 60,000 more units a year. There was no need to hire more people or make the building bigger to get this growth.

The organization got the same amount of work done as if it had made a big investment in new equipment, but it did so by improving its processes instead of spending money. This shows that improving operational efficiency can be a way to grow.

9. Sustainability and Standardization

Sustainability methods were incorporated into day-to-day operations to ensure that improvements lasted. To keep track of performance data, Standard Operating Procedures were changed to reflect the new workflow, and visual production reporting was introduced. Layout audits were put in place to address the gradual return of substandard layouts.

Daily management reviews helped individuals stay on track with the new standards, and operators received training to adjust to the new way of doing things. We have incorporated KPI dashboards to keep track on space efficiency, stability, and productivity. These procedures made sure that the changes made through the Kaizen effort became part of the organization's normal way of doing things and weren't just a quick fix.

10. Organizational Impact

Even though the number of workforce stayed the same, the effort made the organization's culture of Lean adoption better. Changes in how work is done made the training requirements higher. The project proved that solutions that cost a lot of money don't work as well as constant development.

11. Discussion

The results show that reducing the production footprint is not just a matter of modifying the space, but also of changing the way the whole system works. Combining Lean tools with industrial engineering concepts led to improvements in productivity, flow efficiency, and ergonomic performance, all at the same time. Getting rid of WIP inventory is a key step toward Lean maturity. The study also shows that spatial optimization can be a strategic option instead of expanding facilities.

12. Managerial Implications

Before approving infrastructure development, manufacturing leaders should put layout optimization first. Kaizen projects let you put things into action quickly while lowering your financial risk.
Key managerial lessons include:

- Space constraints often indicate flow inefficiency.
- One-piece flow drives both productivity and spatial savings.
- Cross-functional participation accelerates implementation success.

13. Conclusion

The study suggests that modifications to the layout that are driven by Kaizen not only considerably decrease the amount of space that is required for production but also increase operational efficiency. The footprint of the chainsaw assembly line was lowered by 42.5%, which led to significant increases in productivity, decreased travel distance, and higher throughput capacity and a reduction in travel distance.
The project also resulted in significant reductions in capital expenses and enabled organizational expansion without the need for new infrastructure. These results support Lean manufacturing as a strategic catalyst for perpetual industrial growth. Subsequent research could investigate the combination of digital simulation and Industry 4.0 technologies for forecasting layout optimization.

REFERENCES:

1. Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking*. Free Press, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/200657172_Lean_Thinking_Banish_Waste_and_Create_Wealth_in_Your_Corporation.
2. Liker, J. K. (2004). *The Toyota Way*. McGraw-Hill, https://vietnamwcm.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2008/07/mcgraw-hill-thetoyotaway-14managementprinciples.pdf
3. Shah, R., & Ward, P. T. (2007). Defining and developing measures of Lean production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(4), 785–805, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222434298_Defining_and_Developing_Measures_of_Lean_Production
4. Ohno, T. (1988). *Toyota Production System*. Productivity Press.
5. Slack, N., Chambers, S., & Johnston, R. (2010). *Operations Management*. Pearson Education.