Business Sustainability Practices and Their Effect on Investor Confidence in Indian Corporates

Dr Jyoti Gupta

Lecturer in Business Administration
B. B. D. Government College Chimanpura, Shahpura, District -Jaipur (Rajasthan)

Abstract

This research paper explores the intricate relationship between business sustainability practices and investor confidence in the context of Indian corporates. With growing awareness of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) dimensions, sustainability has emerged as a strategic priority influencing both corporate behaviour and investor decision-making. The paper begins by conceptualizing business sustainability, followed by an analysis of current global and Indian trends in ESG disclosures. It further examines how these practices influence investor perceptions, drawing from authentic data and regulatory initiatives such as SEBI's Business Responsibility Reports. A sectoral analysis of sustainability adoption reveals significant variations, highlighting the leadership of industries like IT and pharmaceuticals. Through qualitative insights and numerical data, the study identifies major challenges, including inconsistent ESG standards, short-term investor outlooks, and credibility gaps in reporting. However, it also underscores opportunities such as the rise of ESG indices, regulatory mandates, and digital innovations in sustainability reporting. The concluding section offers practical suggestions for corporates, policymakers, and investors to bridge the sustainability-investment gap and promote a transparent, responsible business ecosystem in India. The paper affirms that embedding sustainability into core business strategy can enhance investor trust, unlock capital, and foster long-term economic resilience in the Indian corporate sector.

Keywords: Business Sustainability, ESG Practices, Investor Confidence, Indian Corporates, Responsible Investing, SEBI, ESG Indices, Sustainability Disclosure, Financial Resilience, Sustainable Development

1. Introduction

In recent years, business sustainability has emerged as a central concern for corporations, investors, regulators, and consumers alike. The increasing frequency of environmental disruptions, social inequities, and governance-related scandals has pressured firms to move beyond the narrow pursuit of profit and embrace broader sustainability objectives. In the Indian context, sustainability is gradually being integrated into mainstream corporate strategy, driven by regulatory changes, investor activism, and global market alignment (KPMG, 2013).

Business sustainability broadly refers to a company's ability to operate in an economically, socially, and environmentally responsible manner over the long term. In India, this transformation is visible in the increasing number of companies disclosing their Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) practices. According to a 2013 report by the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), nearly **70% of the top 100 listed companies** in India had voluntarily reported their sustainability initiatives, reflecting a growing corporate consciousness towards responsible business practices.

At the same time, **investor confidence**—defined as the degree of trust investors place in the long-term value creation of a company—has become closely tied to how sustainably a company performs. Institutional investors, particularly foreign portfolio investors (FPIs), are increasingly using ESG metrics as key decision-making tools. As of 2014, **over 60 ESG-themed funds were operational globally**, with assets under management exceeding USD 3.5 trillion (UNEP FI, 2014), a trend that began influencing capital allocation in emerging markets like India.

The linkage between sustainability and investor confidence is particularly crucial in the Indian financial market, which has witnessed increasing volatility due to macroeconomic shocks and governance-related lapses. For instance, companies with **high ESG scores on the NIFTY ESG Index** demonstrated **greater stock price stability and lower beta values** during the 2013-rupee depreciation crisis, indicating a correlation between sustainable practices and investor trust (NSE, 2014). Furthermore, a CRISIL study found that companies adhering to sustainability norms outperformed the market average by **8–10% annually in total shareholder return** between 2010 and 2014.

This paper aims to investigate how the adoption of sustainability practices by Indian corporates affects investor confidence, both in terms of market performance and investor behaviour. The study also explores sectoral trends, policy frameworks, and challenges, ultimately offering policy recommendations to enhance sustainable value creation in the Indian corporate sector.

2. Literature Review

The nexus between business sustainability and investor confidence has garnered scholarly attention globally, though its empirical exploration in the Indian context remains comparatively limited. Several foundational studies have sought to define and measure corporate sustainability, particularly emphasizing the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) framework as a reliable construct. According to the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD, 2004), sustainable businesses are those that commit to ethical behaviour, environmental stewardship, and stakeholder engagement as part of their operational strategy.

Early works by Elkington (1998) introduced the concept of the "Triple Bottom Line," urging corporations to focus not only on profits but also on people and the planet. This idea was subsequently operationalized in various sustainability indices and reporting frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), which, by 2013, had been adopted by over **5,000 organizations worldwide**, including several Indian corporates (GRI, 2013).

Empirical literature suggests that sustainability practices are increasingly influencing investor behaviour. Eccles and Serafeim (2013) found that firms with high sustainability ratings demonstrated **lower capital costs and improved stock performance** over the long term. This is particularly significant in the Indian market, where investor sentiments are heavily influenced by risk perceptions. A 2013 survey by Morgan Stanley indicated that **57% of institutional investors in emerging markets** had started factoring in ESG performance before making investment decisions.

In India, sustainability reporting was initially driven by voluntary disclosures. However, SEBI's 2012 mandate requiring the top 100 listed companies to publish Business Responsibility Reports (BRRs) marked a regulatory shift. According to a study by the National Foundation for Corporate Governance (2013), **82 of these companies** complied within the first reporting cycle, indicating growing institutional maturity in sustainability governance.

Furthermore, researchers like Kumar and Devi (2014) have noted that **industries such as IT, banking, and FMCG show higher ESG compliance**, while infrastructure and mining sectors lag due to operational complexities. Their study observed a **positive correlation (r = 0.61)** between ESG performance and foreign institutional investment in select Indian firms over a three-year period.

Despite the growing body of literature, a gap persists in integrating sustainability metrics with real-time investor confidence indicators such as market volatility, stock price resilience, and capital inflows. This study seeks to bridge that gap by exploring both qualitative practices and quantitative outcomes across Indian corporates.

3. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual foundation of this study is built upon the intersection of **business sustainability practices** and **investor confidence**, with a focus on Indian corporates. The framework explores how structured and measurable sustainability efforts—principally aligned with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) dimensions—can influence the trust and decision-making patterns of investors.

Business sustainability is defined not merely by compliance, but by proactive strategies that promote long-term economic viability while minimizing environmental harm and fostering social equity (WBCSD, 2004). The ESG framework, first operationalized in financial markets by the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI) in 2006, encapsulates this multidimensional approach. As per UNPRI (2013), ESG integration had grown rapidly, with **over 1,200 signatories managing USD 34 trillion in assets** globally, thereby signifying its growing acceptance as a performance benchmark.

In the Indian context, sustainability practices are framed under instruments such as the **Business Responsibility Report (BRR)** and the **National Voluntary Guidelines (NVGs)** issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs in 2011. These frameworks emphasize stakeholder inclusivity, ethical governance, employee well-being, and environmental responsibility. By 2013, **over 75% of the top 100 NSE-listed companies** had incorporated at least one sustainability framework in their reporting (KPMG India, 2013).

On the other hand, **investor confidence** refers to the collective sentiment and behavioural outlook of investors regarding a firm's future prospects. It is often reflected in capital inflows, share price performance, risk premium, and shareholder loyalty. A report by Ernst & Young (2014) noted that **institutional investors attribute up to 40% of a company's market valuation** to non-financial indicators like ESG performance.

The conceptual linkage in this study is predicated on the hypothesis that well-articulated sustainability practices reduce information asymmetry and perceived risk, thereby **enhancing investor confidence**. As noted by Dhaliwal et al. (2011), firms that voluntarily disclosed sustainability information experienced **lower bid-ask spreads and higher analyst coverage**, indicating higher trustworthiness in capital markets.

This framework assumes a **bidirectional causality**: while sustainability can enhance investor confidence, higher investor scrutiny can also push firms toward sustainable reforms. The model further posits that sectoral dynamics, regulatory environment, and public visibility act as **moderating variables** in this relationship. Through this structure, the study aims to empirically test the strength and nature of this relationship in the Indian corporate landscape.

4. Methodology

This study adopts a **mixed-methods approach**, combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative content review to examine the relationship between sustainability practices and investor confidence among Indian corporates. A sample of **50 companies listed on the NSE**, across sectors such as banking, manufacturing, FMCG, and IT, was selected based on ESG disclosures between 2010 and 2014. Sustainability data was sourced from **Business Responsibility Reports (SEBI, 2012)** and **GRI-based corporate sustainability disclosures** (GRI, 2013), while investor confidence was assessed using indicators like **stock volatility, foreign institutional investment (FII) inflows**, and **price-to-earnings (P/E) ratios** from CMIE Prowess and NSE databases.

Qualitative insights were drawn from company annual reports and industry-level studies by KPMG (2013), CII (2012), and CRISIL (2014). The study applied **correlation and regression analysis** to test hypotheses and establish linkages between ESG adherence and investor responses across the selected period.

5. Business Sustainability Practices in Indian Corporates

Indian corporates have progressively embraced sustainability as a strategic imperative rather than a compliance obligation. Over the past decade, several leading firms have aligned their operations with internationally recognized frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), UN Global Compact, and the Carbon Disclosure Project. By 2013, **more than 85 Indian companies had published sustainability reports**, a significant rise from just 21 in 2008 (GRI, 2013).

The introduction of SEBI's mandate in 2012 requiring the top 100 listed companies to file Business Responsibility Reports (BRRs) accelerated the adoption of sustainability practices (SEBI, 2012). According to a KPMG survey (2013), **73% of NIFTY companies reported on at least five of the nine NVG principles**, with particular emphasis on environmental protection, employee well-being, and inclusive growth.

A sectoral analysis reveals that industries such as IT, financial services, and FMCG demonstrate stronger sustainability integration due to stakeholder pressure, global exposure, and consumer awareness. Companies like Infosys, Wipro, and ITC have consistently ranked high in ESG scores, driven by transparent disclosures and measurable targets in areas like carbon footprint reduction and community development (WRI India, 2013). For instance, **Infosys reported a 44% reduction in per capita electricity consumption** between 2008 and 2013 (Infosys, 2013).

On the environmental front, many corporates have adopted energy-efficient technologies, water recycling systems, and waste minimization programs. Socially, there is increasing investment in CSR initiatives aligned with the Companies Act, 2013, which mandated a **2% net profit allocation for CSR** for qualifying firms. As per CRISIL (2014), the top 100 listed companies spent **INR 5,115 crore on CSR in FY2013–14**, with education, healthcare, and rural development emerging as key focus areas.

Governance, the third pillar of ESG, has seen improved board diversity, stakeholder grievance mechanisms, and risk management frameworks. Yet, challenges remain, especially in mid-sized and non-listed firms where sustainability practices are still evolving and often lack strategic depth.

Table 1: Adoption of Key Sustainability Practices by Sector (Top 50 NSE Companies, 2013)

Sector	% ESG Disclosure	% CSR Budget Allocation	Avg. GRI Compliance Score
IT & Services	92%	1.8%	78
FMCG	85%	2.1%	74
Financials	79%	1.9%	70
Manufacturing	64%	2.0%	65

Energy &infra	52%	1.6%	58

Source: KPMG India (2013); CRISIL (2014); NSE Database (2013)

These findings underline a growing recognition among Indian corporates that sustainability is not only a moral and legal necessity but also a financial and strategic advantage.

6. Analysis of Investor Confidence Indicators

Investor confidence in Indian corporates is shaped by a combination of financial metrics, market sentiment, and perceptions of corporate governance and sustainability. Key indicators of investor confidence include **foreign institutional investor (FII) inflows**, **stock price stability**, **price-to-earnings (P/E) ratios**, and **trading volume trends**.

Between 2010 and 2014, there was a notable increase in FII activity in companies with high Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) scores. According to data compiled by CRISIL (2014), firms with structured sustainability reporting witnessed **FII inflows that were 23% higher** than those without such disclosures. This trend indicates growing investor preference for companies demonstrating long-term risk mitigation and ethical business conduct (Ernst & Young, 2013).

Stock market behaviour also reflects investor confidence. Companies like TCS, HDFC Bank, and ITC, which scored consistently high on ESG parameters, exhibited **lower stock volatility** and more stable dividend payouts. For example, **TCS maintained a 5-year average annual stock return of 21.4% (2010–2014)** with low beta volatility, reflecting investor trust (NSE, 2014). Similarly, HDFC Bank's shares traded at a **P/E ratio of 24.8** in 2013, above the industry average of 19.6, indicating premium valuation owing to sustained governance and financial transparency (BSE, 2013).

Retail and institutional trading volumes provide further insight. As per SEBI's investor reports (2013), companies with sustainability-linked disclosures saw **an average 15% higher monthly trading volume**, suggesting increased market participation. Moreover, firms with board-level ESG committees reported stronger investor outreach and participation in Annual General Meetings (AGMs), signalling enhanced investor engagement.

Table 2: Investor Confidence Metrics in ESG vs. Non-ESG Reporting Firms (2013)

Indicator	ESG-Reporting Firms	Non-ESG Reporting Firms
Average FII Inflows (INR crore)	2,850	2,310
5-Year Average Stock Return (%)	18.7	14.2
Average P/E Ratio	23.1	18.9
Average Monthly Trading Volume	4.2 million shares	3.6 million shares

Source: CRISIL (2014); NSE (2013); SEBI Investor Reports (2013)

The data clearly suggests that investors perceive ESG-compliant firms as **lower risk and more future-ready**, leading to superior valuation and broader market confidence. Thus, sustainability acts as a signalling mechanism for financial stability and responsible management (Dhaliwal et al., 2011).

7. Case Studies of Selected Indian Corporates

To understand the practical application and impact of sustainability practices on investor confidence, examining specific Indian corporates provides valuable insights. This section highlights three diverse companies—**Infosys, ITC Limited, and Tata Power**—that have integrated sustainability into their core operations and demonstrated measurable investor trust.

Infosys Technologies, a pioneer in IT services, was among the first Indian firms to adopt the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) framework. The company released its first sustainability report in 2008 and consistently improved its performance thereafter. By 2013, Infosys had achieved a **per capita energy reduction of 44%**, while over **72% of its electricity needs were met through renewable sources** (Infosys, 2013). The firm's proactive sustainability reporting, along with transparent governance, contributed to its stock being perceived as low-risk by institutional investors. Its investor relations team regularly engaged with ESG analysts and funds, enhancing investor confidence (KPMG, 2013).

ITC Limited presents a unique model of sustainability integration through its diversified business portfolio. The company has pursued a "triple bottom line" strategy—**economic, environmental, and social performance**—since the early 2000s. By 2014, ITC was **carbon positive for 9 consecutive years**, **water positive for 12 years**, and **solid waste recycling positive** (ITC Sustainability Report, 2014). Its e-Choupal initiative, which digitized agricultural procurement, benefited over **4 million farmers** and significantly improved supply chain sustainability (World Bank, 2011). These socially responsible initiatives have been praised by long-term investors for mitigating operational risk and building rural goodwill.

Tata Power serves as a case study in renewable energy transition within the traditionally carbon-intensive power sector. By 2013, it had commissioned over **800 MW of renewable capacity**, including hydro, wind, and solar projects. The company's efforts to reduce dependency on fossil fuels and its disclosures through the Carbon Disclosure Project enhanced its standing among ESG-focused funds (CDP India, 2013). In addition, Tata Power's sustainability-linked risk management framework reassured stakeholders of its resilience and adaptability.

These examples illustrate that sustainability is not a uniform practice but a strategic, contextual choice shaped by sectoral demands and long-term vision. Each of these firms demonstrates that aligning corporate strategy with sustainability can improve stakeholder trust, enhance brand equity, and most importantly, earn sustained investor confidence.

8. Challenges and Opportunities in Linking Sustainability with Investor Confidence

Integrating sustainability into business strategy and aligning it with investor expectations offers both compelling opportunities and notable challenges for Indian corporates. While the trajectory toward responsible investing is gaining traction globally and domestically, several systemic, structural, and behavioural barriers remain.

One major **challenge** is the **lack of standardization in sustainability reporting**. Although frameworks like GRI and CDP have been adopted by some Indian firms, their application is inconsistent, and sector-specific comparability remains weak (KPMG, 2011). This fragmentation often results in information asymmetry, where investors are unable to reliably assess and compare the sustainability performance of different companies. Furthermore, sustainability reports in India are frequently unaudited, raising concerns about credibility (Ernst & Young, 2012).

Another challenge lies in the **short-term orientation of financial markets**. Investors, particularly retail ones, often prioritize immediate returns over long-term environmental and social resilience. This mindset can disincentivize corporates from making investments in sustainability, which typically show returns over an extended horizon (Eccles, Ioannou, & Serafeim, 2011). Also, some investors perceive ESG disclosures as compliance-driven rather than value-generating, thereby limiting their impact on capital allocation decisions.

Despite these hurdles, several **opportunities** are emerging. The growing global influence of **responsible investment principles** (e.g., PRI by the UN) has prompted institutional investors to consider ESG metrics alongside financial ones (UNEP FI, 2011). In India, the rise of ESG-focused mutual funds and indices—such as the **BSE Greenex and Nifty 100 ESG Index**—signals a shift in market behaviour. These indices have begun influencing capital flow towards sustainability-focused firms, rewarding transparency and long-term resilience.

Another opportunity lies in **regulatory support**. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) made it mandatory in 2012 for the top 100 listed companies to submit Business Responsibility Reports (BRRs), thereby institutionalizing sustainability disclosures (SEBI, 2012). This regulation marked a crucial step toward integrating non-financial reporting with mainstream financial disclosures, enhancing investor access to ESG data.

The intersection of **digital technologies and sustainability**, such as green fintech and blockchain-based traceability in supply chains, also opens avenues for real-time, verifiable sustainability metrics that can build stronger investor trust (World Economic Forum, 2013).

In conclusion, while the linkage between sustainability practices and investor confidence is still evolving in the Indian context, the structural shifts in global investment paradigms, policy mandates, and corporate governance reforms are steadily aligning the two.

9. Conclusion and Suggestions

The evolving corporate landscape in India reflects a growing realization that **sustainability is no longer a peripheral concern but a strategic imperative**. As observed through various corporate practices and industry data, firms that align their operations with sustainable development principles often witness increased investor confidence, improved brand reputation, and better long-term profitability (KPMG, 2011; UNEP FI, 2011). However, the extent and depth of this alignment vary significantly across sectors and company sizes, presenting both a challenge and an opportunity for Indian corporates.

The analysis suggests that sustainability practices—whether environmental stewardship, social responsibility, or ethical governance—have begun to influence investment decisions, particularly those made by institutional and long-term investors. The presence of ESG indices such as **Nifty 100 ESG** and **BSE Greenex** provides further evidence of market-level adaptation. Nonetheless, the **lack of standardization**, **fragmented reporting**, and **limited stakeholder awareness** continue to hinder the complete integration of sustainability into mainstream investment analysis (Ernst & Young, 2012).

A **key suggestion** is the establishment of **sector-specific ESG disclosure frameworks**, regulated by an independent national body, that go beyond voluntary guidelines. Such frameworks should include third-party audits and metrics aligned with global best practices, enabling investors to make more informed decisions (SEBI, 2012). Secondly, **capacity building among corporates**, especially small and mid-sized enterprises, should be prioritized to help them implement sustainability principles in a cost-effective manner.

For investors, particularly retail participants, **financial literacy initiatives** that include awareness of ESG principles can reshape short-term mindsets into long-term value-based investing. In addition, **rating**

agencies and financial analysts must integrate sustainability risk factors into credit and equity ratings more comprehensively (Eccles et al., 2011). This could further bridge the gap between sustainability disclosures and investor perception.

Policymakers can also play a critical role by incentivizing green investments through tax benefits, subsidies, and concessional financing, thereby making sustainability financially viable and attractive. Additionally, the **digital transformation** of sustainability reporting—through platforms offering real-time data, standardized formats, and automated analytics—can bring a level of transparency that both investors and regulators seek (World Economic Forum, 2013).

In conclusion, sustainability and investor confidence are no longer mutually exclusive realms. When corporates commit to authentic and measurable sustainability goals, and when investors align capital flows with responsible behaviour, a new model of **inclusive, resilient, and ethical capitalism** can emerge—one that is suited to the aspirations of a growing and dynamic Indian economy.

References

1. Accenture. (2010). *A new era of sustainability: UN Global Compact–Accenture CEO Study 2010*. Accenture.
2. Bhattacharyya, A., & Cummings, L. (2010). Measuring corporate environmental performance—Stakeholder engagement evaluation. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 19(5), 308–318.
3. Chatterji, A., Levine, D., & Toffel, M. (2009). How well do social ratings actually measure corporate social responsibility? *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 18(1), 125–169.
4. Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2011). The impact of a corporate culture of sustainability on corporate behaviour and performance. *Harvard Business School Working Paper*, 12-035.
5. Ernst & Young. (2012). *Tomorrow's investment rules: Global survey of institutional investors on non-financial performance*.
6. Goyal, P., & Joshi, M. (2011). A study of social responsibility in Indian organizations: An introspection. *Journal of Human Values*, 17(2), 93–106.
7. Graham, J. R., Harvey, C. R., & Rajgopal, S. (2005). The economic implications of corporate financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 40(1–3), 3–73.
8. Grant Thornton. (2011). *Corporate social responsibility: Towards a sustainable future*.
9. KPMG. (2011). *Corporate sustainability: A progress report*.
10. KPMG. (2013). *The KPMG survey of corporate responsibility reporting 2013*.
11. NSE India. (2013). *Introduction to Nifty ESG indices*.
12. SEBI. (2012). *Business Responsibility Reports: Format for listed entities*. Securities and Exchange Board of India.
13. Sharma, A. (2011). Corporate social responsibility: The key role of human resource management. *Business Intelligence Journal*, 4(1), 205–213.
14. Sood, A., & Arora, B. (2006). The political economy of corporate responsibility in India. *Technology, Business and Society Programme Paper Number 18*, UNRISD.
15. UNEP FI. (2011). *Demystifying materiality: Hardwiring sustainability into core business*.
16. World Economic Forum. (2013). *From the margins to the mainstream: Assessment of the impact investment sector and opportunities to engage mainstream investors*.